

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/015,679                                | 12/17/2001                            | Yong Sung Ham        | 8734.037.00- US     | 5096            |
| 30827<br>MCKENNA I                        | 7590 09/15/2008<br>ONG & ALDRIDGE LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                 |
| 1900 K STREET, NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20006 |                                       |                      | XIAO, KE            |                 |
|   |                                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |                                       |                      | 2629                |                 |
|   |                                       |                      |                     |                 |
|   |                                       |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE   |
|   |                                       |                      | 09/15/2008          | PAPER           |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## 10/015.679 HAM, YONG SUNG Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit

Application No.

Ke Xiao 2629

Applicant(s)

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

| (1) <u>Ke Xiao</u> .  | (3)Valerie Hayes (53,005).      |
|---|---------------------------------|
| (2) <u>Eric Nuss (40,106)</u> .   | (4)                             |
| Date of Interview: 04 September 2008.   |                                 |
| Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant                                  | 2)⊠ applicant's representative] |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:                                     | e)⊠ No.                         |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1.  |                                 |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Hanano US 6,535,194  |                                 |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached.  | g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.   |
| Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed ame |                                 |

overcome the prior art of record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| Wa Visal                             |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| /Ke Xiao/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2629 |  |
| S. Datast and Tradamark Office       |  |